Image

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → +

TDANOPUTTAL		Application Number	10/089,691	
TRANSMITTAL FORM We be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Filing Date	April 3, 2002
			Inventor(s)	Andre ERIKSEN et al.
			Group Art Unit	2835
3K 01		ļ	Examiner Name	Datskovskiy, Michael V.
P.E.			Attorney Docket Number	45900-000728/us
		ENCLO	OSURES (check all that apply)	
Fee Transmittal Form		Assignment Papers (for an Application)		After Allowance Communication to Group
Fee Attached		Letter to the Official Draftsperson and Sheets of Formal Drawing(s)		BRIEF AND APPEAL BRIEF (w/cle version of pending claims)
Response to Restriction		Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Group (Notice of Appeal, Brief, Reply Brief)
After Final		Petition		Proprietary Information
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Status Letter
Extension of Time Request		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
Express Abandonment Request		☐ Terminal Disclaimer ☐ Request for Refund		
Information Disclosure Statement		CD, Number of CD(s)		
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remar		
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application				
Response to Miss Parts under 37 CI 1.52 or 1.53				
	SIGNA	TURE OF A	PPLICANT, ATTORNEY,	OR AGENT
Firm <i>or</i> Individual name	Harness, Dickey &	11	Attornov Namo	Reg. No. 35,094
Signature		/U		
Date	December 29,	2003		





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANTS:

Andre ERIKSEN et al.

CONF. NO.: 5550

SERIAL NO.:

10/089,691

GROUP:

2835

FILED:

July 22, 2002

EXAMINER: Datskovskiy, Michael V.

FOR:

COMPUTER SYSTEM COMPRISING A COOLING SYSTEM

DOCKET NO.:

45900-000728/US

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

December 29, 2003

Dear Sir:

Responsive to the Examiner's Restriction Requirement dated November 25, 2003, the following remarks are respectfully submitted in connection with the above-referenced application.

REMARKS

The Examiner has objected to claims 5-7, 12 and 29 under 37 C.F.R. § 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claims shall not serve as a basis for any other multiple dependent claim.

Applicants direct the Examiner's attention to the Preliminary Amendment filed on April 3, 2002 and April 23, 2002, which removed the multiple dependent claims, and also added new